## <u>Standing Orders Committee (SOC) Review – SOC Viewpoints.</u>

- SOC not a part of TTE appointed committee structure;
- SOC formed and appointed via the membership at AGMs;
- Any change to the format of the SOC would need to be noted and approved at AGM;
- Some sense in bringing the Rules Committee and SOC (+ PRG?) closer together as Standing Orders comprise a largely of Articles, but doesn't make complete sense unless the following questions/points are addressed;
- SOC responsible for drafting Standing Orders. Would new Committee take on this role?
- If somehow combined, how would the revised Committee ensure it remained accessible to members wishing to raise an issue relating to a General Meeting, but not wishing to make it visible to TTE/Board?
- In the past there has been different views expressed by the Rules Committee and SOC with the former taking the TTE view and the latter taking a Members' view; how would this happen in the future if the committees were combined?
- Current SOC comprises three individuals with equal rights and no Chairman. How would a revised committee operate to ensure no one individual has final say?
- Current SOC only requires to be active leading up to a General Meeting, so it is not a yearlong commitment. How would new committee work? What time commitment would be required?
- Is it worth raising at National Council meeting to test reaction from Company Members?